Anderson, RobinM [Anderson.RobinM@epa.gov] From:

7/22/2019 6:57:50 PM Sent:

To: Gaines, Linda [Gaines.Linda@epa.gov] RE: What is a "pollutant or contaminant"? Subject:

## agreed

----Original Message----

From: Gaines, Linda Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 1:49 PM

To: Anderson, RobinM <Anderson.RobinM@epa.gov>; Libelo, Laurence <Libelo.Laurence@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: What is a "pollutant or contaminant"?

I haven't looked at that many RODs in my time, but I don't think we generally ever specify if a contaminant is a hazardous substance or a P&C. They just calculate risk based which contaminants that are found at the site have a toxicity value. Then they look at ARARs. If we are going off of which ones have toxicity values, it is PFOA, PFOS, and PFBS.

Linda G.T. Gaines, Ph.D., P.E., BCEE Environmental Engineer U.S. Environmental Protection Agency OLEM/OSRTI/ARD/Science Policy Branch Gaines.Linda@epa.gov Phone: (703) 603-7189

----Original Message----From: Anderson, RobinM

Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 1:40 PM

To: Libelo, Laurence <Libelo.Laurence@epa.gov>

Cc: Gaines, Linda <Gaines.Linda@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: What is a "pollutant or contaminant"?

If and when it happened it would be documented in a ROD/or Action Memo. The few RODs/Action Memos where we have addressed PFAS (Linda Gaines knows which ones of these) we could look to see what was said. But it is my understanding that we have only used our slope factors and HAs - if we don't have that we have not gone further for any of the others PFAS compounds. Therefore - this eliminate most all PFAS with the exception of the hanfill we have a tox value and HA value that we in Superfund have accepted.

Re other non PFAS contaminants -- It would take going through a very detailed, labor intensive search of RODs to see when this has been done for other P&Cs. I recall that it has for other contaminants -- just don't have knowledge of which ones or what sites.

----Original Message----

From: Libelo, Laurence Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 10:20 AM

To: Anderson, RobinM <Anderson.RobinM@epa.gov>; Gaines, Linda <Gaines.Linda@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: What is a "pollutant or contaminant"?

How is this determine this? Do we make a formal declaration? How is it documented? Have any PFAS been subject to a determination?

----Original Message----From: Anderson, RobinM

Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 10:02 AM

To: Libelo, Laurence <Libelo.Laurence@epa.gov>; Gaines, Linda <Gaines.Linda@epa.gov> Subject: RE: What is a "pollutant or contaminant"?

All the PFAS are "potential" pollutants or contaminants. They need to be determined that they "may reasonably be anticipated to cause death, disease, behavioral abnormalities, cancer, genetic mutation, physiological malfunctions (including malfunctions in reproduction) or physical deformations, in such organisms or their offspring." organisms or their offspring..

and we havenot made that determination either nationally or on a site-specific basis.

----Original Message-

From: Anderson, RobinM

Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 9:59 AM

To: Libelo, Laurence <Libelo.Laurence@epa.gov>; Gaines, Linda <Gaines.Linda@epa.gov> Subject: RE: What is a "pollutant or contaminant"?

If a chemical is not a hazardous subsence and presence and presents an unacceptable risk as discussed in (33) below would be a pollutant or contaminant.

So while all of the PFAS compounds are not Hazardous substances -- only if "may reasonably be anticipated to cause death, disease, behavioral abnormalities, cancer, genetic mutation, physiological malfunctions (including malfunctions in reproduction) or physical deformations, in such organisms or their offspring..

Since we have not identified the many PFAS compounds as meeting the second half of that definition --- we have not designated such compounds as a pollutant or contaminant.

----Original Message----From: Libelo, Laurence

Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 8:14 AM

To: Gaines, Linda <Gaines.Linda@epa.gov>; Anderson, RobinM <Anderson.RobinM@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: What is a "pollutant or contaminant"?

Good morning Linda and Robin,

We got the question "how many PFAS does OLEM consider pollutants or contaminants under CERCLA 101(33)".

Any idea if there are documents on how we interpret this section? Who would know? I read is as a simple science question but I suspect that there is some historical policy info somewhere.

(33) The term "pollutant or contaminant" shall include, but not be limited to, any element, substance, compound, or mixture, including disease-causing agents, which after release into the environment and upon exposure, ingestion, inhalation, or assimilation into any organism, either directly from the environment or indirectly by ingestion through food chains, will or may reasonably be anticipated to cause death, disease, behavioral abnormalities, cancer, genetic mutation, physiological malfunctions (including malfunctions in reproduction) or physical deformations, in such organisms or their offspring; except that the term "pollutant or contaminant" shall not include petroleum, including crude oil or any fraction thereof which is not otherwise specifically listed or designated as a hazardous substance under subparagraphs

(A) through (F) of paragraph (14) and shall not include natural gas, liquefied natural gas, or synthetic gas of pipeline quality (or mixtures of natural gas and such synthetic gas).

From: Hovis, Jennifer

Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 9:54 AM

To: Libelo, Laurence <Libelo.Laurence@epa.gov<mailto:Libelo.Laurence@epa.gov>>

Subject: FW: FOR RESPONSE by MONDAY COB -- HEC PFAS TA question

Importance: High

Laurence, do you have any thoughts on who is best to answer the question below?

Jen Hovis

Chief, Construction & Post Construction Management Branch (CPCMB) Office of Superfund Remediation & Technology Innovation (OSRTI)

desk: 703.603.8888 | cell: 571.814.0303 | hovis.jennifer@epa.gov<mailto:hovis.jennifer@epa.gov>

From: Keller, Melanie

Sent: Friday, July 19, 2019 8:32 AM
To: Woolford, James 
James Woolford
James
Qepa.gov
pana

<Stalcup.Dana@epa.gov<mailto:Stalcup.Dana@epa.gov>>

Cc: Lowery, Brigid <Lowery.Brigid@epa.gov<mailto:Lowery.Brigid@epa.gov>>; Healy, Helena

<Healy.Helena@epa.gov<mailto:Healy.Helena@epa.gov>>; Hovis, Jennifer

<Hovis.Jennifer@epa.gov<mailto:Hovis.Jennifer@epa.gov>>

Subject: Re: FOR RESPONSE by MONDAY COB -- HEC PFAS TA question

+ Jen today

Sent from my iPhone

Melanie C. Keller

Congressional Liaison

Office of Land and Emergency Management U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

(202) 566-2772 - desk

(202) 897-9357 - mobile

On Jul 19, 2019, at 7:10 AM, Keller, Melanie <Keller.Melanie@epa.gov<mailto:Keller.Melanie@epa.gov>> wrote:

Good morning,

HEC has raised the following question about PFAS as a "pollutant or contaminant" under CERCLA 101 (33).

Is OSRTI the best office to handle this question, or is it another OLEM office? Also, if we're getting into statutory definitions, my gut says we pull in OGC too. Thoughts???

This isn't "urgent" per se, but we need to keep this moving because there is a slim possibility that meetings to resolve the NDAA bills may occur before the August recess (begins July 27).

## QUESTION:

Does the Agency consider one or more PFAS substances a "pollutant or contaminant" as defined in section 101(33) of CERCLA? If so, which PFAS substances does the Agency consider to meet this definition?

Thank you for your time and response, Melanie

Melanie C. Keller
US Environmental Protection Agency
Congressional Liaison - OLEM/OCPA
(202) 566-2772 - office
(202) 897-9357 - mobile
keller.melanie@epa.gov<mailto:keller.melanie@epa.gov>